

May 30, 1997

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Orville D. Green, Assistant Administrator  
Air & Hazardous Waste Division

**FROM:** Martin Bauer, Chief *Martin Bauer*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau

**SUBJECT:** Issuance of Tier II Operating Permit #777-00122 to  
Rock Contractors, Incorporated, Portable Hot-Mix Asphalt Plant

**PURPOSE**

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 16.01.01 Sections 400 through 406 (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho) (Rules) for issuing Operating Permits.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Rock Contractors, Incorporated, operates a portable hot-mix asphalt facility. Rock Contractors, Inc., is requesting a Tier II operating permit be issued to cover the operations of the hot-mix asphalt facility in both attainment and non-attainment areas throughout the state of Idaho. The facility has a maximum rated capacity of 200 tons per hour (T/hr). The Permittee requested that the standard permitting methodology be applied to the application.

**SUMMARY OF EVENTS**

On September 15, 1995, the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received a Tier II Operating Permit (OP) application for a portable hot-mix asphalt plant for Rock Contractors, Inc. On December 21, 1995, the application was determined administratively complete.

On March 28, 1997, a proposed Tier II OP was issued for public comment. The public comment period was from April 17, 1997, through May 16, 1997. No comments were received.

**FEES**

The facility is not major as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.008.14. Therefore, registration and registration fees in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.526 are not applicable upon permit issuance.

The facility is subject to Tier II permit application fees as required by IDAPA 16.01.01.470, for the amount of \$500.00. The fee will be requested upon permit issuance.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the review of the OP application and all applicable state and federal rules and regulations concerning the permitting of air pollution sources, the Bureau recommends that Rock Contractors, Inc., be issued a Tier II OP for this portable hot-mix asphalt facility. Staff members also recommend that the facility be notified in writing of the obligation to pay permit application fees for the Tier II OP.

ODG\MB\AM:jrj...\permit\rockcon\rockh-f.1MM

**CC:** Pat Rayne, AFS  
S. West, Boise Regional Office  
OP File Manual  
Source File  
COF

March 28, 1997

**MEMORANDUM**

TO: Martin Bauer, Chief  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau  
Air & Hazardous Waste

FROM: Darrin Mehr, Air Quality Engineer *DM*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau  
Operating Permits Section

THROUGH: Susan J. Richards, Air Quality Permits Manager *SJR*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau

SUBJECT: Technical Analysis for Proposed Tier II Operating Permit #777-00122  
Rock Contractors, Inc. (Portable Standard Hot-Mix Asphalt Plant)

**PURPOSE**

The purpose of this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 16.01.01 Sections 400 through 406 (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho) (Rules) for issuing Operating Permits.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

This project involves the issuance of a Tier II Operating Permit (OP) for the following process units and fugitive emission sources.

Rock Contractors, Inc., operates a portable Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) plant. The company desires to operate in both attainment and non-attainment areas within the state of Idaho. The HMA's designed maximum hourly throughput is 200 tons per hour (T/hr). The facility throughput is currently limited to 158 T/hr by its initial performance test, conducted September 1986. The HMA facility is currently located in Boise, Idaho.

Rock Contractors, Inc. currently operates the HMA within the Boise PM<sub>10</sub> non-attainment area. The HMA plant was issued a PTC on September 4, 1986 (then owned by Nampa Paving and Asphalt). Ambient air quality impact modeling was performed for operation in attainment areas. Potential particulate matter emissions were set by IDAPA process weight limitations, of 27.7 pounds per hour (lb/hr) in the permit.

Per DEQ policy, modeling of the requested emissions was necessary to allow Rock Contractors, Inc. to operate in PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas. Rock Contractors, Inc. selected the option of obtaining the streamlined (or standard) permit. This method requires the modeling of point source emissions, but does not include those emissions identified as fugitive. Greater operational flexibility is expected with the standard permitting approach.

1. Process Description

The facility is a portable drum mix hot-mix asphalt plant used for the production of asphaltic concrete. The dryer burner is fired on #2 fuel oil. Emissions are controlled by a multi-clone in series with a venturi scrubber.

2. Equipment Listing

This standard permit analysis includes the following equipment as submitted in the application:

2.1.1 Portable Hot-Mix Asphalt Plant

Manufacturer/Model:	AESCO
Type:	Drum-Mix
Throughput Capacity:	200 T/hr
Burner Manufacture/Model:	Genco/AF-40
Burner Fuel Type:	#2 Diesel
Dryer Heat Input:	45 MM Btu/hr

### 2.1.2 Air Pollution Control Devices

Type:	Multi-Clone (Primary)
Manufacturer:	Not Available
Model:	Not Available
Type:	Wet Scrubber (Secondary)
Manufacturer:	Yanke
Model:	Not Available

### 2.1.3 HMA Stack Information

Stack Height:	27 ft
Stack Diameter:	3 ft
Exhaust Gas Flow Rate:	20,642 acfm <sup>*1</sup>
Stack Exhaust Temp:	119°F

\*1 "acfm" stand for actual cubic feet per minute. Flow rate was estimated from 1986 source test information and the requested 200 T/hr production capacity.

## SUMMARY OF EVENTS

On September 15, 1995, the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received a Permit to Construct (PTC) application. On October 24, 1995, the application was declared complete. On November 21, 1995, the application was transferred to the Operating Permits Section for processing as a Tier II permit application. On December 21, 1995, the Tier II application was declared administratively complete. On March 3, 1997, Rock Contractors, Inc., formally requested standard (or streamlined) permitting methodology be used for the application.

## DISCUSSION

### 1. Area Classification

The HMA facility is a portable source and may operate in both PM<sub>10</sub> attainment and non-attainment areas throughout the state of Idaho.

### 2. Emission Estimates

Emission estimates for this HMA facility were calculated using a lotus spreadsheet and emission factors obtained from the Fifth Edition AP-42, Section 11.1. The spreadsheet calculates the potential to emit (PTE) for the following air pollutants: PM (particulate matter), PM<sub>10</sub> (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than or equal to ten (10) microns), NO<sub>x</sub> (nitrogen oxides), SO<sub>2</sub> (sulfur dioxide), and CO (carbon monoxide). In calculating the PTE for each pollutant, the spreadsheet solves for the most limiting pollutant which will give the facility a PTE of less than 100 tons per year (T/yr), i.e., 99 T/yr. In addition, allowable operational limits for the facility, which corresponds to the PTE<100 T/yr, are given as part of the spreadsheet output. A copy of the spreadsheet showing all calculations and results is presented as Appendix A of this memo.

In summary, the emission estimates for this facility assume 200 T/hr throughput to a drum-mix HMA plant, one #2 diesel fired dryer, and fugitive dust emissions from specified sources (see spreadsheet page 3).

### 3. Facility Classification

This facility is not a designated facility, as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.006.25 (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho). This facility is not a major facility as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.006.54 and as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.008.14. This facility is an affected facility and is subject to regulation in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart I -Standards of Performance for Hot-Mix Asphalt Facilities.

The facility classification is A2, and the Standard Industrial Classification code (SCC) is defined as 2951.

#### 4. Modeling

Modeling of the asphalt plant stack emissions was conducted using EPA approved SCREEN3 computer run model. The maximum 1-hour impact from the dryer stack was calculated to be 11.86  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  using a 1 lb/hr unity emission rate input to the model. The spreadsheet calculates the ambient impact for each air pollutant (PM-10, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CO) based on the calculated lb/hr emission rate, averaging periods and background concentrations. The spreadsheet solves for the most limiting pollutant in attainment areas and gives appropriate operational limits which protects the applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standard as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.577. In addition, the spreadsheet also calculates the most limiting pollutant in non-attainment areas and gives operational limits to protect applicable significant contribution requirements as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.006.89.

All Screen modeling output files are presented as Appendix B of this memo. Spreadsheet impact calculations and results are presented as Appendix A.

#### Allowable Operations:

Operation in proposed or designated PM<sub>10</sub> non-attainment areas is limited to 961 T/day and 350,819 T/yr. Operating in attainment or unclassifiable areas is limited to 1,752,000 tons per year (T/yr). No single pollutant gives the facility a PTE of .99 T/yr of controlled emissions.

Allowable operation is limited to 158 T/hr in both attainment and non-attainment areas unless and until a performance test at a higher production rate is performed successfully, according to Tier II operating permit General Provision I. No modification analysis will be required for the increase in allowable hourly production because the permitting analysis accounted for operation at rated capacity.

#### 5. Regulatory Review

The following rules and regulations were reviewed for this permit analysis:

<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.400</u>	Tier II Operating Permits;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.402</u>	Application Procedures;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.403</u>	Permit Requirements for Tier II Sources;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.404</u>	Procedures for Issuing Permits;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.404.01(c)</u>	Opportunity for Public Comment;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.405</u>	Conditions for Tier II Operating Permits;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.406</u>	Obligation to Comply;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.577</u>	Ambient Air Quality Standards;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.625</u>	Visible Emissions;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.650</u>	Fugitive Emissions;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.725</u>	Rules for Sulfur Content of Fuels;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.805</u>	Rules for the Control of Hot-Mix Asphalt Plants; and
<u>40 CFR 60 Subpart I</u>	Standards of Performance for Hot-Mix Asphalt Plants.

#### 6. AIRS Information

The AIRS database will be updated to include this new permit. AIRS forms are located as Appendix C of this memo.

#### FEES

This facility is not a major facility as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.008.14, therefore, registration and registration fees in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.526 are not applicable upon issuance of the final permit. Fees for operations during from the beginning of 1997 until the date of permit issuance shall apply to the facility in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.526.

The Permittee is subject to a \$500.00 permit application fee upon issuance of the final permit, as required by IDAPA 16.01.01.470 (Rules).

#### RECOMMENDATION

Based on the review of the OP application and all applicable state and federal rules

and regulations concerning the permitting of air pollution sources, the Bureau recommends that Rock Contractors, Inc., be issued a Tier II OP for this portable hot-mix asphalt facility. An opportunity for public comment shall be provided as required by IDAPA 16.01.01.404.01 (Rules). Staff members also recommend that the facility be notified in writing of the obligation to pay permit application fees for the Tier II OP.

MB\578\BAM\jrj...\permit\rockcon\rockcon.TAM

cc: P. Rayne/AFS  
S. West, Boise Regional Office  
Source File  
COF

## APPENDIX A

Rock Contractors, Incorporated

Emissions and Impact  
Calculation Spreadsheet

## INPUT SECTION - enter info in highlighted areas only

Company: <b>ROCK CONTRACTORS INC.</b>	
Person: <b>Barbara Miller</b>	
Date: <b>March 10, 1997</b>	
File Name: <b>rockhmi.wks</b>	
HMA Plant Type: <b>B</b>	(A = Batch Mix Hot Mix Asphalt Plant) (B = Drum Mix Hot Mix Asphalt Plant)
Dryer Fuel Type: <b>B</b>	(A = Natural Gas-Fired Dryer) (B = Distillate Fuel Oil-Fired Dryer) (C = Residual Fuel Oil-Fired Dryer) (D = Waste Oil-Fired Dryer)
Dryer Stack Flow Rate: <b>18,500</b>	(a) actual cubic feet per minute (acfm)
Dryer Stack Temperature: <b>112</b>	(b) temperature (°F)
Dryer Stack Moisture Content: <b>18.3</b>	(c) moisture wt % (Default 18 wt%)
Dryer Stack Pressure: <b>28.38</b>	(d) stack pressure (Default 28.92 "Hg)
Corrected Flow Rate (calculated): <b>18,391</b>	(e) dry standard cubic feet per minute (dscfm)
Facility Production Capacity: <b>300</b>	(f) Ton/hr
Modelled 1-hr Concentration: <b>11.05</b>	(g) µg/m³, at a unity emission rate of 1 lb/hr
Is a PM performance test required for this HMA plant? <b>N</b>	(Y or N)
Generator? (Y/N) <b>N</b>	(Y or N)
<b>A</b>	
<b>65</b>	

1020

## DRYER EMISSION RATE CALCULATIONS

Pollutant	DRYER STACK	
	Emission Factor (Uncontrolled) [lb/dscfm]	Emission Rate (Controlled) [lb/hr]
Total PM	19.00	5.28
Total PM-10	0.04	5.28
CO	0.04	7.20
NOx	0.08	15.00
SOx	0.06	11.20

HMA emission factors for CO, NOx, SOx and uncontrolled PM &amp; PM-10 are from AP-42 Section 11.1. Controlled PM &amp; PM-10 is from the NSPS 0.04 g/dscfm.

## SPREADSHEET DATA - Information used by spreadsheet

Background Concentrations				
	1-hr	3-hr	8-hr	Annual
PM-10	11400		8150	31.7
CO				86
NOx				40
SOx		543	144	23.5

  

Regulative Emission Data	
Average Wind Speed (ft)	10 [ft] mph
Material Moisture Content (M)	2.5 [wt] %
Particle Size Multiplier (k)	0.35 [dimensionless]
PM-10 (<10 µm)	
Emission Factor	0.0020 [lb] lb/T
PM-10 (<10 µm)	
Emission Factor	0.0013 [lb] lb/T
Notes:	1. $EF = k \cdot 0.0037 \cdot (1/M)^{-1.3} / (0.62)^{-1.4}$
Drop-Pollet Equation, Rating "A," AP-42, 6th Ed. p.13.2.4.3.	
Assumptions: Wind Speed = 10 mph; Moisture = 2.5%; and	
Aggregate = 94% of product.	

## FACILITY CLASSIFICATION INPUT

Facility Annual Emission Limit: **100** [t/yr]

Note: Use 100 T/yr for Title V Limitation

Use 250 T/yr for PSD Limitation

For the standard HMA permit, use 100 T/yr.

## GENERATOR EMISSION RATE CALCULATIONS

Pollutant	GENERATOR STACK	
	Emission Factor (Uncontrolled) [lb/hr]	Emission Rate (Controlled) [lb/hr]
Total PM	N/A	0.00
Total PM-10	N/A	0.00
CO	N/A	0.00
NOx	N/A	0.00
SOx	N/A	0.00

Generator emission factors are from AP-42, 6th Ed. Table 3.4.

PERMIT REQUIREMENTS SECTION - enforceable permit limits

Facility Classification: A2

<u>Permit Emission Rate Limits</u>	
	Allowable Emission Limits
HMA Dryer Stack:	NA lb/hr
Generator Stack:	NA lb/hr
<u>Permit Limits for Attainment Area Operations</u>	
Generator Hours of Operation Limits:	NA hrs/day NA hrs/yr
HMA Plant Throughput Limits:	NA T/day 1,752,000 T/yr
<u>Permit Limits for Non-Attainment Area Operations</u>	
Generator Hours of Operation Limits:	NA hrs/day NA hrs/yr
HMA Plant Throughput Limits:	961 T/day 350,819 T/yr



Hot-Mix Asphalt Plant Emissions and Ambient Impact Calculations

MODELING ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS FOR ATTAINMENT AREA

Pollutant	Allowable Impacts				Permitted Impacts			
	NAAQS			< 100 TPY	NAAQS			< 100 TPY
	Hours of Operation [=] hr/day	Hours of Operation [=] hr/year	Other abt.	Hours of Operation [=] hr/year	Hours of Operation [=] hr/day	Hours of Operation [=] hr/year	Calculated 24-hr Impact [=] ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Calculated Annual Impact [=] ug/m <sup>3</sup>
PM <sub>10</sub>	N/S	N/S		8,760	24.0	8,760	24.97	4.99
CO	N/S	N/S		8,760	None	None	34.07	6.81
CO <sub>2</sub>			1.0		No emission limitations.			
CO <sub>2</sub>			8.0					
NO <sub>x</sub>	N/S	8,760		8,760				14.20
SO <sub>x</sub>	24.0	8,760		8,760			57.08	10.60
SO <sub>2</sub>			3.0					119.25

MODELING ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS FOR NON-ATTAINMENT AREA

Pollutant	Allowable Impacts				Permitted Impacts			
	NAAQS			< 100 TPY	NAAQS			< 100 TPY
	Hours of Operation [=] hr/day	Hours of Operation [=] hr/year	Other abt.	Hours of Operation [=] hr/year	Hours of Operation [=] hr/day	Hours of Operation [=] hr/year	Calculated 24-hr Impact [=] ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Calculated Annual Impact [=] ug/m <sup>3</sup>
PM <sub>10</sub>	N/S	N/S		8,760	4.8	1,754	5.00	1.00
CO	N/S	N/S		8,760	PM-10	PM-10	6.82	1.36
CO <sub>2</sub>			1.0		Limited by NAAQS.			
CO <sub>2</sub>			8.0					
NO <sub>x</sub>	N/S	8,760		8,760				2.84
SO <sub>x</sub>	24.0	8,760		8,760			11.43	2.12
SO <sub>2</sub>			3.0					119.25

FUGITIVE EMISSION CALCULATIONS FOR ATTAINMENT AREA

	PM	PM-10
Pre-Dryer Source Emissions ([=] lb/hr)		
Loader → Cold Aggregate Bin	1.003	0.379
Cold Aggregate Bin → Conveyor	1.003	0.379
Conveyor → Drum Dryer	1.003	0.379
Total Pre-Dryer Source Emissions	3.008	1.138
Post-Dryer Source Emissions		
Screening Process	NA	NA
Screen → Hot Bins	NA	NA
Hot Bins → Weigh Hopper	NA	NA
Weigh Hopper → Pug Mill	NA	NA
Total Post-Dryer Source Emissions	NA	NA
Scavenger Control Efficiency	NA	NA
Total Uncontrolled Emissions ([=] lb/hr)	3.01	1.14
Total Uncontrolled Emissions ([=] T/yr)	13.17	4.98
Total Controlled Emissions ([=] lb/hr)	3.01	1.14
Total Controlled Emissions ([=] T/yr)	12.17	4.28

FUGITIVE EMISSION CALCULATIONS FOR NON-ATTAINMENT AREA

	PM	PM-10
Pre-Dryer Source Emissions ([=] lb/hr)		
Loader → Cold Aggregate Bin	1.003	0.379
Cold Aggregate Bin → Conveyor	1.003	0.379
Conveyor → Drum Dryer	1.003	0.379
Total Pre-Dryer Source Emissions	3.008	1.138
Post-Dryer Source Emissions		
Screening Process	NA	NA
Screen → Hot Bins	NA	NA
Hot Bins → Weigh Hopper	NA	NA
Weigh Hopper → Pug Mill	NA	NA
Total Post-Dryer Source Emissions	NA	NA
Scavenger Control Efficiency	NA	NA
Total Uncontrolled Emissions ([=] lb/hr)	3.01	1.14
Total Uncontrolled Emissions ([=] T/yr)	2.44	1.00
Total Controlled Emissions ([=] lb/hr)	3.01	1.14
Total Controlled Emissions ([=] T/yr)	2.44	1.00

Source: National Asphalt Pavement Association

\* CO 1-hr Averaging Period

\* CO<sub>2</sub> 6-hr Averaging Period

\* SO<sub>2</sub> 3-hr Averaging Period

## SPREADSHEET SUMMARY - results of emission and modeling calcs for all pollutants

ATTAINMENT AREAS			NON-ATTAINMENT AREAS		
Uncontrolled	Controlled	Dryer	Uncontrolled	Controlled	
16644.00 T/yr	23.11 T/yr	PM	3332.78 T/yr	4.63 T/yr	
3766.80 T/yr	23.11 T/yr	PM-10	754.26 T/yr	4.63 T/yr	
31.54 T/yr	31.54 T/yr	CO	6.31 T/yr	6.31 T/yr	
65.70 T/yr	65.70 T/yr	NOx	13.16 T/yr	13.16 T/yr	
49.06 T/yr	49.06 T/yr	SO <sub>2</sub>	9.82 T/yr	9.82 T/yr	
		Generator			
0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	PM	0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	PM-10	0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	CO	0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	NOx	0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	SO <sub>2</sub>	0.00 T/yr	0.00 T/yr	
		Fugitives			
13.17 T/yr	13.17 T/yr	PM	2.64 T/yr	2.64 T/yr	
4.98 T/yr	4.98 T/yr	PM-10	1.00 T/yr	1.00 T/yr	
		Total 1			
16657.17 T/yr	36.29 T/yr	PM	3335.42 T/yr	7.27 T/yr	
3771.78 T/yr	28.10 T/yr	PM-10	755.26 T/yr	5.63 T/yr	
31.54 T/yr	31.54 T/yr	CO	6.31 T/yr	6.31 T/yr	
65.70 T/yr	65.70 T/yr	NOx	13.16 T/yr	13.16 T/yr	
49.06 T/yr	49.06 T/yr	SO <sub>2</sub>	9.82 T/yr	9.82 T/yr	
3771.8 [-] T/yr of PM-10	65.7 [-] T/yr of NO <sub>x</sub>	Title V PTE Summary 1	755.3 [-] T/yr of PM-10	13.2 [-] T/yr of NO <sub>x</sub>	
16,657 [-] T/yr of PM	65.7 [-] T/yr of NO <sub>x</sub>	Facility PTE Summary	3,335 [-] T/yr of PM	13.2 [-] T/yr of NO <sub>x</sub>	
Enforceable Limits -- Attainment Areas			Enforceable Limits -- Non-Attainment Areas		
24.0 hr/day	8,760 hr/yr		4.8 hr/day	1,754 hr/yr	
Dryer Controlled Emission Rates			Dryer Controlled Emission Rates		
5.28 lb/hr	23.11 T/yr	Emission Limits PM/PM-10	5.28 lb/hr	4.63 T/yr	
7.20 lb/hr	31.54 T/yr	CO	7.20 lb/hr	6.31 T/yr	
15.00 lb/hr	65.70 T/yr	NOx	15.00 lb/hr	13.16 T/yr	
11.20 lb/hr	49.06 T/yr	SO <sub>2</sub>	11.20 lb/hr	9.82 T/yr	
Generator Controlled Emission Rates			Generator Controlled Emission Rates		
0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	PM-10	0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	CO	0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	NOx	0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	
0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	SO <sub>2</sub>	0.00 lb/hr	0.00 T/yr	

1 Total is the dryer, generator and fugitives added together for total PTE.

2 Title V PTE summary does not account for PM, only PM-10.

## APPENDIX B

Rock Contractors, Incorporated

SCREEN Modeling output Files

03/07/97  
08:41:28

\*\*\* SCREEN3 MODEL RUN \*\*\*  
\*\*\* VERSION DATED 95250 \*\*\*

ROCK CONTRACTORS HOT MIX ASPHALT PLANT STACK AT 1 LB/HR

SIMPLE TERRAIN INPUTS:

SOURCE TYPE	=	POINT
EMISSION RATE (G/S)	=	.126000
STACK HEIGHT (M)	=	8.2300
STK INSIDE DIAM (M)	=	.9250
STK EXIT VELOCITY (M/S)	=	14.4968
STK GAS EXIT TEMP (K)	=	322.0000
AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K)	=	293.0000
RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M)	=	.0000
URBAN/RURAL OPTION	=	RURAL
BUILDING HEIGHT (M)	=	.0000
MIN HORIZ BLDG DIM (M)	=	.0000
MAX HORIZ BLDG DIM (M)	=	.0000

ASSUMPTIONS:

- ① STACK FLOW RATE SCALED TO 200T/HR PRODUCTION
- ② 1986 NSPS SOURCE TEST IS THE BASIS OF ALL PARAMETERS FOR FLOW RATE
- ③ STACK DATA PROVIDED BY SPIDELL & ASSOCIATES TO EMERY GATES (NOT IN APPLICATION)
- ④ FLOW RATE CONVERTED FROM DSCFM TO ACFM

STACK EXIT VELOCITY WAS CALCULATED FROM  
VOLUME FLOW RATE = 20642.000 (ACFM)

BUOY. FLUX = 2.739 M\*\*4/S\*\*3; MOM. FLUX = 40.905 M\*\*4/S\*\*2.

\*\*\* FULL METEOROLOGY \*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* SCREEN AUTOMATED DISTANCES \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\* TERRAIN HEIGHT OF 0. M ABOVE STACK BASE USED FOR FOLLOWING DISTANCES \*\*\*

DIST (M)	CONC (UG/M**3)	STAB	U10M (M/S)	USTK (M/S)	MIX HT (M)	PLUME HT (M)	SIGMA Y (M)	SIGMA Z (M)	DWASH
10.	.2540E-09	6	1.0	1.0	10000.0	42.74	5.60	5.59	NO
100.	10.69	2	5.0	5.0	1600.0	17.35	19.44	10.92	NO
200.	11.53	3	4.0	4.0	1280.0	19.63	23.84	14.40	NO
300.	10.65	4	5.0	5.0	1600.0	17.35	22.76	12.37	NO
400.	9.835	4	3.5	3.5	1120.0	21.26	29.69	15.72	NO
500.	8.984	4	3.0	3.0	960.0	23.43	36.41	18.81	NO
600.	8.187	4	2.5	2.5	800.0	26.47	43.03	21.84	NO
700.	7.465	4	2.0	2.0	640.0	31.04	49.62	24.90	NO
800.	6.898	4	2.0	2.0	640.0	31.04	55.95	27.56	NO
900.	6.315	4	1.5	1.5	480.0	38.64	62.49	30.72	NO
1000.	5.961	4	1.5	1.5	480.0	38.64	68.68	33.25	NO
1100.	5.559	4	1.5	1.5	480.0	38.64	74.82	35.21	NO
1200.	5.179	4	1.5	1.5	480.0	38.64	80.91	37.12	NO
1300.	4.827	4	1.5	1.5	480.0	38.64	86.95	38.98	NO
1400.	4.583	5	1.0	1.0	10000.0	49.81	70.23	29.26	NO
1500.	4.604	5	1.0	1.0	10000.0	49.81	74.65	30.35	NO

MAXIMUM 1-HR CONCENTRATION AT OR BEYOND 10. M:

167.	11.83	3	5.0	5.0	1600.0	17.35	20.28	12.24	NO
------	-------	---	-----	-----	--------	-------	-------	-------	----

DWASH= MEANS NO CALC MADE (CONC = 0.0)  
DWASH=NO MEANS NO BUILDING DOWNWASH USED

DWASH=HS MEANS HUBER-SNYDER DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=SS MEANS SCHULMAN-SCIRE DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=NA MEANS DOWNWASH NOT APPLICABLE,  $X < 3 \cdot LB$

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* SUMMARY OF SCREEN MODEL RESULTS \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

CALCULATION PROCEDURE	MAX CONC (UG/M**3)	DIST TO MAX (M)	TERRAIN HT (M)
SIMPLE TERRAIN	11.83	167.	0.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\* REMEMBER TO INCLUDE BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS \*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

# ROCK CONTRACTORS HOT MIX ASPHALT PLANT STACK

$$\dot{Q}_{STD} = \dot{Q}_{ACTUAL} \left( \frac{T_{STD}}{T_m} \right) \left( \frac{P_{BAR}}{P_{STD}} \right)$$

BAR = BAROMETRIC  
STD = STANDARD  
Q = VOLUMETRIC  
FLOW RATE.  
T<sub>m</sub> = MEASURED TEMP

$$\dot{Q}_{ACTUAL} = \dot{Q}_{STD} \left( \frac{T_m}{T_{STD}} \right) \left( \frac{P_{STD}}{P_{BAR}} \right)$$

REINTRODUCING WATER VAPOR COMPONENT (FROM DRY  
STANDARD FLOW).

$$\dot{Q}_{ACTUAL} = \dot{Q}_{STD} \left( \frac{T_m}{T_{STD}} \right) \left( \frac{P_{STD}}{P_{BAR}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\phi_{H_2O}}{100}} \right)$$

1986 STACK TEST: 71,332 DRY STD

$$\dot{Q}_{ACTUAL} = (71,332 \text{ cfm}) \left( \frac{119^\circ\text{F} + 460}{60^\circ\text{F} + 460} \right) \left( \frac{14.7 \text{ psia}}{13 \text{ psia}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{15.2}{100}} \right)$$

$$\dot{Q}_{ACTUAL} = 16,307 \text{ cfm @ 158 TON/HR PRODUCTION}$$

SCALED TO 200 T/HR: (ASSUME LINEAR RELATIONSHIP)

$$\dot{Q}_{MODEL} = \left( \frac{200}{158} \right) \left( 16,307 \frac{\text{ft}^3}{\text{min}} \right)$$

$$\dot{Q} = 20,642 \text{ ACFM}$$

$$\dot{Q} \approx 20,600 \text{ ACFM}$$

TEMPERATURE  
AT EXIT:

$$119^\circ\text{F} + 460 = 579^\circ\text{R}$$

$$\text{TEMP} = \frac{579}{1.8} = 322 \text{ KELVIN}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Q}_{ACTUAL} (\text{NEGLECTING PRESSURE TO MATCH SPREADSHEET}) &= 14,880 \text{ ACFM AT 158 T/HR PROD} \\ &= 18,830 \text{ ACFM AT 200 T/HR} \end{aligned}$$

## APPENDIX C

Rock Contractors, Incorporated

AIRS



ABBREVIATED AIRS DATA ENTRY SHEET

Name of Facility: ROCK CONTRACTORS, INC - 200T/HZ HOT-MIX ASPHALT PLF  
AIRS/Permit #: 997-00122  
PTG Issued Date: 11/1/88

\*Source/Emissions Unit Name (25 spcs)  
(Please use name as indicated in permit)

SCC #  
(8 digit #)

Air-Program  
(SIS/NESEAP/  
NSPS/PSD)

[illegible]



March 28, 1997

**MEMORANDUM**

TO: Orville D. Green, Assistant Administrator  
Air & Hazardous Waste Division

FROM: Martin Bauer, Chief *Martin Bauer*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau

SUBJECT: Issuance of Proposed Tier II Operating Permit #777-00122 to  
Rock Contractors, Incorporated, Portable Hot-Mix Asphalt Plant

**PURPOSE**

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 16.01.01 Sections 400 through 406 (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho) (Rules) for issuing Operating Permits.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Rock Contractors, Incorporated, operates a portable hot-mix asphalt facility. Rock Contractors, Inc., is requesting a Tier II operating permit be issued to cover the operations of the hot-mix asphalt facility in both attainment and non-attainment areas throughout the state of Idaho. The facility has a maximum rated capacity of 200 tons per hour (T/hr). The Permittee requested that the standard permitting methodology be applied to the application.

**SUMMARY OF EVENTS**

On September 15, 1995, DEQ received a Permit to Construct application for a portable rock crushing facility from Rock Contractors, Inc. The application was determined administratively complete on December 21, 1995. The final required information was received on March 3, 1997.

**FEES**

The facility is not major as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.008.14. Therefore, registration and registration fees in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.526 are not applicable upon permit issuance.

The facility is subject to Tier II permit application fees as required by IDAPA 16.01.01.470, for the amount of \$500.00. The fee will be requested upon permit issuance.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the review of the OP application and all applicable state and federal rules and regulations concerning the permitting of air pollution sources, the Bureau recommends that Rock Contractors, Inc., be issued a Tier II OP for this portable hot-mix asphalt facility. An opportunity for public comment shall be provided as required by IDAPA 16.01.01.404.01 (Rules). Staff members also recommend that the facility be notified in writing of the obligation to pay permit application fees for the Tier II OP.

ODG\MS\DAH:jrg...\permit\rockcon\rockconh.DM

cc: Pat Rayne, AFS  
S. West, Boise Regional Office  
OP File Manual  
Source File  
COF

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dave Sande, Accountant Supervisor  
Support Services

FROM: Martin Bauer, Chief *M. Bauer*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau  
Air & Hazardous Waste

THROUGH: Darrin Mehr, Air Quality Engineer *DM*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau  
Operating Permits Section  
Susan J. Richards, Air Quality Permits Manager *SJR*  
Air Quality Permitting Bureau  
Operating Permits Section

SUBJECT: Permit Application Fees for Tier II Operating Permit

The following facility has been reviewed for compliance with IDAPA 16.01.01.470 "Permit Application Fees for Tier II Permits":

Rock Contractors, Inc.

Portable Hot-Mix Asphalt Facility

Tier II OP #777-00122

Rock Contractors, Inc. applied for a Tier II Operating Permit for a portable hot-mix asphalt facility. DEQ has issued the facility's Tier II Operating Permit. According to IDAPA 16.01.01.470, the facility is subject to permit application fees for Tier II Operating Permits of:

Five Hundred Dollars and No Cents (\$500.00)

The contact and mailing address for the above facility is:

PERSON CONTACT: Dennis Wells, Secretary  
COMPANY ADDRESS: Rock Contractors, Inc.  
2190 S. Cole Rd.  
Boise, Idaho 83709

DS\MB\SJR\AM:jrj...\rockcon\rockh-p.FEE

cc: S.West, Boise Regional Office  
Source File  
COF